

MIWA KOMATSU • SAM LOCK • FLORYAN VARENNES • SHEN WEI
HYEMI KIM • REMUS GRECU • GILL GATFIELD • LI LINLIN • HILARIO GALGUERA
IMAGE CAPITAL AT THE MAST FOUNDATION • PICASSO • NIKI DE SAINT PHALLE
PETER BUGGENHOUT • FERNAND LÉGER

**XI
BT**



PARIS PHOTO

10-13 NOV 2022
GRAND PALAIS
ÉPHÉMÈRE



J.P.Morgan
PRIVATE BANK

XIBT *CONTENTS*

MIWA KOMATSU IN CONVERSATION WITH **NINA MDIVANI** 6

SAM LOCK IN CONVERSATION WITH EDITOR IN CHIEF **ALICE ZUCCA** 14

FLORYAN VARENNES ALLEGORY FOR A MORE SENSITIVE FUTURE 24

SHEN WEI IN CONVERSATION WITH **FRANCESCA MARCACCIO HITZEMAN** 30

THE CITY AS KALEIDOSCOPE **HYEMI KIM** AN URBAN, ELECTRONIC VISION 36

REALITY CHECK CHAPTER II: INNER SANCTUM CURATED BY **KOSTAS PRAPOGLOU** 42

REMUS GRECU PRAYING FOR THE SNOW 44

TOTEMS : MONUMENTS GILL GATFIELD **UNITY** 52

LI LINLIN THE PROTECTOR 56

HILARIO GALGUERA IN CONVERSATION WITH EDITOR IN CHIEF **ALICE ZUCCA** 62

CURRENT AND UPCOMING **EVENTS** 68

EDITOR'S PICK 70

IMAGE CAPITAL AT THE **MAST FOUNDATION**, BOLOGNA, ITALY 72

PICASSO SCULPTURES 1902 - 1962 AT **GALERIE DE L'INSTITUT**, PARIS, FRANCE 74

NIKI DE SAINT PHALLE PARADIS RETROUVÉ AT **OPERA GALLERY**, PARIS, FRANCE 76

PETER BUGGENHOUT A DOG WITH EYES FOR THE BLIND AT **GALERIA HILARIO GALGUERA**, MADRID, SPAIN 78

FERNAND LÉGER AND THE ROOFTOPS OF PARIS AT **KRÖLLER-MÜLLER MUSEUM**, OTTERLO, THE NETHERLANDS 80

PARIS PHOTO 2022 AT **GRAND PALAIS ÉPHÉMÈRE**, PARIS, FRANCE 82



**VIENNA
ART
WEEK**

**CHALLENGING
ORDERS** 18-25 NOV
2022

ON The

Cover

Miwa Komatsu,
Pray, 2018,
Acrylic on glass,
263.0 × 82.5 × 188.5

Miwa Komatsu

EDITOR IN CHIEF

ALICE ZUCCA

DEPUTY EDITOR

CLAUDIA NALI

ASSOCIATE EDITOR

ALEXANDRA GILLIAMS

EDITORS

KOSTAS PRAPOGLOU

JONATHAN GOODMAN

PAOLO MENEGHETTI

DONALD BRACKETT

VALENTINA GREENWOOD

FRANCESCA MARCACCIO HITZEMAN

NINA MDIVANI

GIORGIA BASILI

HANIA AFIFI

CONTACT US

INFO@XIBTMAGAZINE.COM

JOIN OUR TEAM

SUBMISSIONS@XIBTMAGAZINE.COM

EVENT CALENDAR

LISTINGS@XIBTMAGAZINE.COM

ADVERTISING

ADS@XIBTMAGAZINE.COM

XI BT

XIBT #4 2022 |
OCT • NOV • DEC 2022

PUBLICATION LISTED IN THE
ITALIAN PRESS REGISTER
BY THE SASSARI COURT OF LAW
WITH REGISTRATION NUMBER 447/2017
FOUNDER & EDITOR IN CHIEF ALICE ZUCCA

WWW.XIBTMAGAZINE.COM

[@XIBTMAG](https://www.instagram.com/XIBTMAG)



Sam Lock

Not the time of Clocks

IN CONVERSATION WITH
EDITOR IN CHIEF
ALICE ZUCCA

Time, according to Aristotle, can only be truly defined in reference to the concept of becoming, observing that time is not the changing of things, but the measure of their becoming. Time, consequently, always implies the existence of the soul since every measurement presupposes the existence of a measuring mind, that is, a mind capable of taking into account the nature and sequence of the succession of things. In this sense, deeply involved and participating in the experience of "living", Sam Lock reaches to create a unique mapping of lost and rediscovered moments, of the ephemeral here and now; his works are presences and absences at the same time that mark the tempo and pauses of the course of events, of the world around us. The desire to reconcile the inner world of memory and imagination with the exterior reality that we encounter on a daily basis appears to be the founding principle of Sam Lock's research. We carry these dynamics and encrypted information with us wherever we go in an attempt to decipher the symbols and signifiers of our contemporary experience. Lock's art communicates and map a silent and universal story and creates, through the variation of sign, movement, and intervention, a real visual alphabet – an eloquent language from silence. His marks almost have a "cinematic" taste, which occurs in a movement that – in the flux – spontaneously transitions from gestural to narrative, from image to thought, through visual stimuli and energies that speak louder than words. In Sam Lock's research, the very use of painting,

materials and the artist's approach to the creative act, challenge previous conventions. The image through gesture is abstract – and the artist's gesture, far from being a simple action or the simple recording of facts, actions, geographic and temporal contexts with mere documentary intent, now moves – as the artist says: "in the liminal spaces in-between, finding and losing at the same time, being present and absent, being author and non-author, reasoning and imagining, constructing and deconstructing, finding solace in the shared mystery and anticipation of roads that lead nowhere and everywhere" – in a dualism that returns a totalizing image of outer and inner reality at the same time. Sam Lock's analysis draws inspiration from the works of Samuel Beckett and Harold Pinter, two of the most prominent playwrights of the 20th century. "Under what is said, something else is said" said Pinter, and the ideas implied in this observation, which include concepts of double meanings, loss, discovery, and the overlap of the past and present, are critical to understanding Lock's entire body of work. A "narrative" that, now devoiding diegetic intent, intends to abandon the very foundations of the transmission of a concept only through language (as the word itself is overcharged with meanings), in order to arrive through the "sight" at a "speaking silence" that resonates through a play of presences and absences – which ultimately, simply and poetically, tells and "stages" almost as in theater, the story of our existence in the world as human beings.



Sam Lock, Studio Image, Photo Freddie Burness, Courtesy Cadogan Contemporary



ALICE ZUCCA:

There is a narrative component in your work that relates to a time marked by the very act of living (an event, an experience and so omitting). You said that the creative act for you is not a cathartic moment where you aspire to be better or worse but, it is being part of the course of an event. Almost with "cinematic" taste (in a movement that transitions from gestural to narrative) your marks tell and map a silent and universal story and create through the variation of sign, movement and intervention, a real visual alphabet - a language from silence.

Language through gesture and movement places us in a situation of continuous variation, each one of us in relation to the others and yet in relation to ourselves, just as the linguistic elements are brought into the midst of variation but we are all different in the way we experience life, the way we see the world and the things around us, and the way we recall events that have different meanings for each of us inwardly.

In the very act of communicating (if to communicate means "to arrive" at a subject, therefore reaching "oneself") we know that when there is no silence, there is the word - and, the "problem" with the word, in the continuum of language, is that it corrects and radicalizes the content of the differences - of what is true for one and what is true for another. The word is strongly charged in a pedagogical sense. The word already contains in itself a quantity of instructions, of power and teachings because of its universal meaning despite variation. Harold Pinter said that "Under what is said, something else is said" and in this sense, this is more than true. So, in order to "arrive" in this sense (to the other subject) using the communicated word, it is therefore necessary to circumvent, overturn, what might be called the sovereignty of the elaborated discourse. The preconceived discursiveness denies language any possibility of stating the ultimate meaning of one thing because any possibility of appropriateness is denied.

The word, as something charged with a pedagogical meaning, is a public truth and as such does not represent everything we experience. But it is also the structure of that paradox that is the language with which we communicate and that composes what's true, never in the way it happens but always as a simulacrum, by no longer telling the truth as the content of the message, it continues to say it as the modality of the message. In this sense, it was Beckett who stated that it is necessary to be bilingual in the same language, to impose to the language the heterogeneity of variation and silence the elements (meanings) of more power and majority. Derrida, who has largely dealt with the communicated "word", made a twist on the word, inviting us to "Tourner le mots" and perform a critical action on the word.

Derrida invites us to silence the words "One must find a word that preserves the silence" in order to be able to really communicate.

The care with which to do this contrasts with the power with which we weaken the invisible when we make it visible: here the magical power of language takes place (thus getting to the other subject), which not only describes but can make one feel the happening of that which it "names."

Thus are born, for example, rhetorical figures (not coincidentally called "images of saying") and I don't think your art does this but if communicated silence is magic, in the same way it knows how to happen in the enchantment, the danger, the fascination of what it evokes. With an embroidery of comings and goings, silence is counterpointed with speech by reckoning with the different hemlines of transcendences. If among the fates of silence is the landing of a word that restores its ineffability, there is also a space that marks in the arrivals of silence the presence of an "image" that is capable of being perceived in the interiority of all. The poets are able to show us this contrast. For me you are not only an artist in this sense, you are a poet, you have managed to create a form of visual language that preserves silence.


Could you tell me more about your creative process?

SAM LOCK:

There is a process but it is contradictory and belligerent at times - it seems to me that I am always losing something - other possibilities, more of a process of elimination at times. There are 2 stages in my works, the energy and optimism of "now" and committing to adding to the work - marks, surface, direction - physical and immediate; but subsequently the energy changes into memory of "then", deciding to lose marks and parts of the work, disrupting its movements, making it still or stutter - destructive in some way. Sometimes this happens without me doing anything - as in the latest works for this exhibition - the energy of now just fades away into the past, leaving a monument or memory of itself, echoes and silence maybe? The bare canvas is deliberate in these works - it cannot be easily edited once the mark is made - forcing me to leave it alone.

My aim is always to not know - I prefer the tone of uncertainty - its like an underlying principle for me. The language that you mention and the poetry you allude to is liminal for me - it only exists for me if I don't look at it too head on - I arrive at it rather than use it with dexterity. Its not accidental in any way though - it is delicate I think....maybe fragile in some way? There is an idea here about conscious and subconscious but again I don't think this is authentic for me - this is not a mysterious or mystical creative process - I think I feel it to be more earthed than that - more natural?

Sam Lock, Studio Image,
Photo Freddie Burness,
Courtesy Cadogan Contemporary





**Sam Lock, Studio Image,
Photo Freddie Burness,
Courtesy Cadogan Contemporary**

AZ:

What does silence represent to you and how does this concept relate to your work?

SL:

Your phrase “preserving silence” is beautiful to me and articulates what I have felt to be happening in the studio – instead of looking for the work to talk to me, I am waiting for it to be stop its chattering and to just sit in silence with itself. My studio is normally full of lots of different works at different degrees of resolve; all of them talking away to me at once, trying to tell me they are finished or interesting – a cacophony. I move from work to work in an unplanned way – pick them up, put them down, each time reacting to the signposts they contain, or following the breadcrumbs I have left for myself. There is not a logical line through the works – they sometimes take moments, or months before they learn not to try and talk too much. The works are tricky though – they are false and desperate, anxious to convince me of their worth – it is the paintings that just sit silently that I am looking for. My ideal is that I look around the studio and there is a hum of nothing in my ears. These are the works that I want to show people.

The concept of silence itself has become more poignant to me since seeing my dad after he died – a natural utter stillness and the deepest silent silence.

AZ:

How did you arrive at this form of “language”?

SL:

I think this mirrors the development of any language – first words, using them again and again, stringing them together, learning to talk with them, learning to write with it. But for me it is about making yourself misunderstood – it is a “language” but I think it asks rather than tells. It gives you silence or space to move into. I think that abstraction has a deep complexity at its centre – like a calm at the centre of a storm. This is a picture of how I arrived at this point – a storm of paintings about colour, space, texture. For me it has been a process of stripping away these – anything that is not honest or real has to go – until there is just painting left behind. I have learnt that the less I try to speak, the more the paintings have to say.



**Sam Lock, Eight, 2022,
mixed media on canvas,
194cm x 154cm (76 x 61)**

AZ:

One of the focal points in your practice is to reconcile the inner world of memory and imagination with our everyday life dimension in an attempt to decipher the symbols and signifiers of our contemporary experience. I find the idea of a painting becoming an "invitation" (to the interpretation of a universal story through your "traces" etc.) very interesting. "An invitation to interpret historical marks or moments in time. Things that you do pass into history really quickly but then they come to life again in the imagination of others" you said. This is really interesting, also I think that the power of memory is to reinterpret history and at the same time it is something that unites us through what we perceive as familiar, even more than language - think of the concept of the persistence of memory beyond the individual, that still remains internalized at the level of generational collective memory; whether it is gestural, trace, stored in a medium. etc. - or the theory of survival of archetypes theorized by Warburg that explains the survival of certain gestures, attitudes, situations, images across generations, in the memory of eras. Through the traces of memory, your works collect the references of the relationship between past and contemporary times, questioning the condition and identity of the present. Through the association of materials and decisions, artifacts of thought and doing - combinations and interactions between material and gesture, artist and viewer, you actually activate a "rewriting" of narrative memory, juxtaposing different histories and realities and constantly actualizing the past, now free of all chronological constraints (the commonly known time of clocks) and marked by a universal inner time that unites us as human beings. The act of "manipulating" the canvas in this sense leaves traces of technically mediated life that can be recognized as such by other human beings who can at the same time recognize themselves in it. Not only simply the recording of inner process and sensory/experiential memory, but 'long-term' memory of feelings and ongoing events, which stretches across generations. It seems to me as if through a mapping of these intuitive and mnemonic stimuli you are trying to leave that trace, which is yes traceable to your gestures but at the same time is recognizable as a technical emanation of your art and which at the same time conveys your creation but talk about all of us.

Could you tell me more about the concept of memory from your point of view and how these concepts apply to your practice?

SL:

I think that is our primary experience - to become containers of memory and to develop our identities alongside this. The narratives that we hold onto become our keystones - attaching us to others and framing us. Making a painting mirrors this experience - there is a Jan Svankmajer idea that I like to think of, that objects remember

being used and hold the energy imparted to them as memory. In this context, a painting is not a container of my memories but more so a container of the memory of the gesture or action it is subjected to. I think this is important to me as it stops a nostalgic narrative emerging - the past is not an idyll for me at all. It is just something that is always growing bigger for all of us, all the time.

Rewriting is key to my practice - change and chance are used to disrupt the narratives of the work so they are not obvious. They are artifacts of time spent by me but I also want them to take time from others - to come to life in unexpected ways through the imagination and memory of others. To absorb the time people take looking at them in some way - an interface in some respects.

AZ:

How does your art become an "invitation" and what do you mean by this according to your point of view?

SL:

I think it is a Magritte quote that states that the "human mind looks for spaces to fill" - in this way, ambiguity can act as an invitation to fill the gap - to author meanings, or steer the interpretation of a painting. The best work for me has hooks that hold your attention, slowly unfolding or revealing itself to you - the longer you look, the more you find in the surfaces of my paintings. It is the echoes and traces and absences that I hope keep the viewer in front of the work - my ideal is that after a while, you stop realizing you are looking at a painting and are just in thought or feeling.

AZ:

What concepts and thoughts inspire you to create? What is the message you want to communicate?

SL:

I no longer wait for moments of inspiration - for me it is more that my practice has a current that I am carried by. The studio has a perpetual motion to it and a framework of ideas that are designed to make things happen and always starting journeys. I try to act on ideas as they occur, even if they appear to be cul-de sacs - it feels to me to be always ongoing and never finished.

In terms of a message I want to communicate this is not really my tone of voice - I have questions that I think are interesting and important to me - making paintings is my way of seeing if other people feel the same. I am trying I suppose to find something elusive and human and vulnerable that we have in common. I think this exists most beautifully in painting and so spend my time trying to find it; the paintings are what's left behind as I go.



**Sam Lock, Studio Image,
Photo Freddie Burness,
Courtesy Cadogan Contemporary**

AZ:

Physicality and gesture are important components in your art and evident in all your works as the result of a repeated process of construction and destruction. The works are imbued with a feeling of elusiveness, revealing layers of past images and tones that move in and out of themselves. This sense of movement and presence/absence, including your literal (physical/gestural) entering and leaving the work, relates in a sense to the movement between memory and reality, the movements of mind and the residue of action, as well as the sense of unpredictability that pervades your works.

To what extent does the element of unpredictability contribute to the creation of your works and in what way according to your point of view?

SL:

Unpredictability breaks everything in interesting ways – it is part of the way I avoid a cyclical rhythm in the studio. It stops me getting seduced by the world of appearances and points me back to something hidden and more substantial that is underlying. I am not interested in committing to one approach to making paintings or in creating homogeneity in my work – to make the same painting again and again to satisfy a commercial demand is soulless to me. I don't want to be known for a single recognizable style of work – its not the outward appearance that connects all the things I make, I think it is what is at their heart.

AZ:

How important is the gestural act in the creative process?

SL:

The gesture is handwriting and life in action – it commits to now and becomes then. It is the embodiment of time and memory. My work is driven by gestures – they track my moments, my finite and limited moments and so if each moment we have is treasure, then each mark we make is treasure.

AZ:

Could you please tell me more about the concept of presence/absence in your work and what do absence and presence represent for you?

SL:

I think this is related to things discussed before – the gesture, memory.

But it is a key concept – the liminal space between these ideas pervades all I do I think – the presence of absence, the absence of presence. It places the work in an interesting context – where you are not sure whether things are coming together or falling apart. I am interested in how Samuel Beckett uses these ideas – where each moment or character or event contains both presence and absence as contradictory and complimentary ideas layered over each other all at once. It is a paradox for existence – are we really here, are we dreaming this? For all we know, there is a huge absence that is at our heart – we do not know the answer to our purpose, our creation, our past or future. It is a metaphor for the metaphysical.

AZ:

Could you tell me more about the concept of “submitting” to the canvas?

SL:

I think for me, this idea of “submitting” is about handing over impetus – that the driving force comes from within the painting. I never have an idea of how a work will end, only how it starts. I am interested in the nature of my presence in my own work – am I author or facilitator? Am I boss or worker? Am I present or absent? Am I aware or lost? Am I listening or telling?

The canvas will tell me the answer to these things all the time like a constant undercurrent – allowing me to interfere and intervene with this narrative until they no longer matter and the painting becomes independent of me and no longer needs me. I think it is this process that defines the endgame for each painting I make.

AZ:

Speaking of time you stated that you see "time not as something to be measured or controlled, but rather as the heartbeat of everything we know - it is what makes and undoes everything, including us - and transforms all things in memory ... The energy of change that surrounds us and that exists within us ... the current that carries everything."

I see this movement of time in your work as a fundamental active element of "inter-action" which becomes presence and absence at the same time, and it is a "here and now" that has a past and, it is a time that is possibly always still in progress. Through your marks and intervention the rhythm of the events takes shape - in my opinion we can define this "moment" as what Deleuze calls the living present, that is, the form of time in which time itself unfolds and unravels - unpredictable. Past and future belong to this living present, they are its dimensions, since in the past the previous instants are held in the present by the "contraction", and in the future the subsequent instants of waiting are anticipated in the present by the same contraction. This "habit" (memory) and "contraction" work together - let's make it simple, speaking of time we take the tick-tock of the clock, in this perspective time joins the tick-tock in a soul that contemplates it, and that contemplating of this fusion of elements, constitutes our habit of living, that is, that it forms our expectation that the event continues, that the the ticking does not stop suddenly, it is the movement of the journey, the flow - of - living. In the case of the tick-tock, in fact, the contraction is the form that represents our memory, the fact that one of the two elements comes after the other and that guarantees the perpetuation of the phenomenon - there is a subtle difference separating this from the simple time passing as a tick-tock.

If you think about all this, time always passes, it is elusive, we can never really measure or control it - what will have to happen has maybe actually already happened, like some meteors, some stars that we see falling, have already fallen millions of years ago - an action to be taken may have already been accomplished - everything changes and disappear and then appear again in our mind - your approach to time is profound and brilliant.

I am really curious to know more about the concept of time in relation to your practice from your point of view. Your paintings are "containers" of time - could you tell me more about this aspect?

SL:

The Greeks have 2 definitions for time - Kairos and Chronos - man-made time and divine time. The interplay between these two elements are our tragedy - that we last for a limited amount of time in an infinite space and time that doesn't care for us.

I am intrigued and drawn to the futility of ourselves and also paradoxically the importance we place in ourselves - the centreground we inhabit and the control we pretend to have. I think there is more comfort and reward in being aware of the insignificance - that we are not supposed to be in control of things, that time will always pass. We try and understand the world by breaking it up into manageable pieces, give it edges and impose systems upon it. I think a painting exists here for me like a battleground for ideas - physically it has edges and contains marks and decisions but it also acts as a fragment of an infinite.

**The exhibition
'Sam Lock -
Not the time
of clocks'
will be on
view until 11
November
2022 at
Cadogan
gallery in
London.**



**Sam Lock, Not the time of clocks, Installation views,
Photo Credit Cadogan Gallery, Courtesy Cadogan Gallery**

